$e^+e^- \longrightarrow 3$ jets and event shapes at NNLO

Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder

in collaboration with T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, G. Heinrich

XLIII th Rencontres de Moriond, ”QCD and High Energy Interactions”

La Thuile, 12.03.08

ETH
Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

$e^+e^- \to 3$ jets and event shapes

Classical QCD observable

- testing ground for QCD: perturbation theory, power corrections and logarithmic resummation
- precision measurement of strong coupling constant α_s
- current error on α_s from jet observables dominated by theoretical uncertainty: S. Bethke, 2006

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.121 \pm 0.001(\text{experiment}) \pm 0.005(\text{theory})$$

- theoretical uncertainty largely from missing higher orders
- current status: NLO plus NLL resummation

Jets in Perturbation Theory

Jet Description

- Partons are combined into jets using the same jet algorithm as in experiment



LO

each parton forms 1 jet on its own



NLO

2 partons in 1 jet, 1 parton experimentally unresolved



NNLO

3 partons in 1 jet, 2 partons experimentally unresolved

Current state-of-the-art: NLO plus resummation of all-order logarithms (NLLA)
Need for higher orders:

- reduce error on α_s
- better matching of parton level and hadron level

Ingredients to NNLO $e^+e^- \to$ 3-jet

- Two-loop matrix elements

$|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{2-loop},3\text{ partons}}$



explicit infrared poles from loop integrals

L. Garland, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, A. Koukoutsakis, E. Remiddi; S. Moch, P. Uwer, S. Weinzierl

- One-loop matrix elements

$|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{1-loop},4\text{ partons}}$



explicit infrared poles from loop integral and implicit infrared poles due to single unresolved radiation

Z. Bern, L. Dixon, D. Kosower, S. Weinzierl;
J. Campbell, D.J. Miller, E.W.N. Glover

- Tree level matrix elements

$|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{tree},5\text{ partons}}$



implicit infrared poles due to double unresolved radiation

K. Hagiwara, D. Zeppenfeld;
F.A. Berends, W.T. Giele, H. Kuijf;
N. Falck, D. Graudenz, G. Kramer

Infrared Poles cancel in the sum

NLO Subtraction

Structure of NLO m-jet cross section (subtraction formalism):

Z. Kunszt, D. Soper

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{NLO} = \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \left(\mathrm{d}\sigma^R_{NLO} - \mathrm{d}\sigma^S_{NLO}\right) + \left[\int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \mathrm{d}\sigma^S_{NLO} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_m} \mathrm{d}\sigma^V_{NLO}\right]$$

- $\mathrm{d}\sigma^S_{NLO}$: local counter term for $\mathrm{d}\sigma^R_{NLO}$
- $\mathrm{d}\sigma^R_{NLO} - \mathrm{d}\sigma^S_{NLO}$: free of divergences, can be integrated numerically

General methods at NLO

- Dipole subtraction
  S. Catani, M. Seymour; NNLO: S. Weinzierl
- $\mathcal{E}$-prescription
  S. Frixione, Z. Kunszt, A. Signer;
  NNLO: S. Frixione, M. Grazzini; V. Del Duca, G. Somogyi, Z. Trocsanyi
- Antenna subtraction (derived from physical matrix elements)
  D. Kosower; J. Campbell, M. Cullen, N. Glover;
  NNLO: T Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, AG

Numerical Implementation

Parton-level event generator

Starting point: $e^+e^- \to 4$ jets at NLO (EERAD2: J. Campbell, M. Cullen, N. Glover)

- contains already 4-parton and 5-parton matrix elements
- is based on NLO antenna subtraction

additions:

- NNLO subtraction terms (5-parton channel)
- 1-loop-single unresolved integrated subtraction term (4-parton channel)
- 2-loop matrix element (3-parton channel)

checks:

- analytic cancellation of infrared poles
- local cancellations along phase space trajectories approaching singular limits
- confirmation of our result by independent calculation of all logarithmically enhanced terms in the thrust distribution using the SCET formalism
  very recently: T. Becher, M. Schwartz

Three-jet cross section at NNLO

NNLO corrections: jet rates

Three-jet fraction in Durham jet algorithm

$$y_{i,j,D} = \frac{2\min(E_i^2, E_j^2)\,(1-\cos\theta_{ij})}{E_{vis}^2}$$



- NNLO corrections small
- substantial reduction of scale dependence
- better description towards lower jet resolution
- need: resummation at low $y_{\rm cut}$ and hadronization corrections

Event shapes variables

Standard Set of LEP

- Thrust (E. Farhi)

$$T = \max_{\vec{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{n}| \right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\vec{p_i}| \right)$$

- Heavy jet mass (L. Clavelli, D. Wyler)

$$\rho = M_i^2/s = \frac{1}{E_{\mathrm{vis}}^2} \left(\sum_{k \in H_i} |\vec{p_k}| \right)^2$$

- C-parameter: eigenvalues of the tensor (G. Parisi)

$$\Theta^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\sum_k |\vec{p_k}|} \frac{\sum_k p_k^\alpha p_k^\beta}{\sum_k |\vec{p_k}|}$$

- Jet broadenings (S. Catani, G. Turnock, B. Webber)

$$B_i = \left(\sum_{k \in H_i} |\vec{p_k} \times \vec{n}_T| \right) / \left(2 \sum_k |\vec{p_k}| \right)$$

$$B_W = \max(B_1, B_2) \qquad B_T = B_1 + B_2$$

- $3j \rightarrow 2j$ transition parameter in Durham algorithm y_{23}^D
  S.Catani, Y.L.Dokshitzer, M.Olsson, G.Turnock, B.Webber



Event shapes at NNLO

NNLO thrust and heavy mass distributions



- NNLO corrections sizeable, non uniform: 15-20% in T, 10% in ρ
- theory uncertainty reduced by about 40 %
- large $1 - T, \rho > 0.33$: kinematically forbidden at LO
- small $1 - T, \rho$: two-jet region, need matching onto NLL resummation
  Just completed: T. Gehrmann, G. Luisoni, H. Stenzel
- need to include hadronization corrections

Event shapes at NNLO+NLLA

NNLO+NLLA thrust and heavy mass



- (NNLO +NLLA) compared to (NNLO) prediction
  - slightly better description towards the 2-jet limit
  - In the 3-jet region, two predictions in agreement
  - further improvement needed: by including hadronization corrections

Comparison with data

High precision data from all LEP experiments, compare here to ALEPH



- include quark mass effects to NLO
  P. Nason, C. Oleari
  W. Bernreuther, A. Brandenburg, P. Uwer
  G. Rodrigo, A. Santamaria
- include hadronization corrections
  HERWIG: B. Webber et al.
  ARIADNE: T. Sjostrand et al.
- try new fit of α_s, based on ALEPH analysis
  G. Dissertori, T. Gehrmann,
  G. Heinrich, H. Stenzel, AG

Extraction of α_s



- scale uncertainty reduced by factor 2 compared to NLO; factor 1.3 compared to NLLA+NLO
- scatter among values from different observables reduced very substantially at NNLO $\longrightarrow$ genuine NNLO effect

Result for all ALEPH event shapes of LEP1/LEP2

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1240 \pm 0.0008(stat) \pm 0.0010(exp) \pm 0.0011(had) \pm 0.0029(theo)$$

Summary and Conclusions

- Completed the calculation of the NNLO corrections to $e^+e^- \longrightarrow 3$ jets using antenna subtraction method
- Presented results for the 3-jet cross section (Durham algorithm)
  - improvement towards lower y_{cut}
  - reduced scale dependence
- Presented results for event shapes in e^+e^- annihilation
  - size of the NNLO corrections sizeable but not uniform
  - improved theoretical uncertainty
  - considerably better consistency between observables
  - new NNLO extraction of α_s
- new result for NLLA+NNLO corrections for event shapes
  - better description of 2-jet limit compared to NNLO
  - NNLO and NNLO+NLLA effects in agreement in the 3-jet region
- next steps
  - α_s from NLLA+NNLO
  - moments of event shapes and analytical power corrections

Back-up slides

Colour structure of NNLO 3-jet

Decomposition into leading and subleading colour terms

$$\sigma_{NNLO} = (N^2-1)\left[N^2\, A_{NNLO} + B_{NNLO} + \frac{1}{N^2}\, C_{NNLO} + N N_F\, D_{NNLO} \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{N_F}{N}\, E_{NNLO} + N_F^2\, F_{NNLO} + N_{F,\gamma}\left(\frac{4}{N} - N\right)\, G_{NNLO}\right]$$

- last term: closed quark loop coupling to vector boson, numerically tiny



$$N_{F,\gamma} = \frac{\left(\sum_q e_q\right)^2}{\sum_q e_q^2}$$

- most subleading colour: C_{NNLO}, E_{NNLO}, F_{NNLO}, (G_{NNLO})
  QED-type contributions: gluons $\longrightarrow$ photons
- simplest term: F_{NNLO}, only 3 parton and 4 parton contributions

Colour-ordered antenna functions

Antenna Functions

- colour-ordered pair of hard partons (radiators) with radiation in between
  - hard quark-antiquark pair
  - hard quark-gluon pair
  - hard gluon-gluon pair
- three-parton antenna $\longrightarrow$ one unresolved parton
- four-parton antenna $\longrightarrow$ two unresolved partons
- can be at tree level or at one loop
- all three-parton and four-parton antenna functions can be derived from physical matrix elements, normalised to two-parton matrix elements
  - $q\bar{q}$ from $\gamma^* \to q\bar{q} + X$
  - qg from $\tilde{\chi} \to \tilde{g}g + X$
  - gg from $H \to gg + X$

Antenna functions

Quark-antiquark

consider subleading colour (gluons photon-like)



$$|M_{q\bar{q}ggg}|^2(1,3,4,5,2) \xrightarrow{1\|3} |M_{q\bar{q}gg}|^2(\widetilde{13},4,5,\widetilde{23}) \times X_{132}$$

with

$$X_{132} = \frac{|M_{q\bar{q}g}|^2}{|M_{q\bar{q}}|^2} \equiv A_3^0(1_q, 3_g, 2_{\bar{q}})$$

Antenna functions

Quark-gluon



$$|M_{q\bar{q}q\bar{q}g}|^2(1,3,4,5,2) \xrightarrow{3\|4} |M_{q\bar{q}gg}|^2(\widetilde{13},\widetilde{34},5,2) \times X_{134}$$

with hard radiators:
quark $(\widetilde{13})$ and gluon $(\widetilde{34})$



q^*	:	spin 1/2, colour triplet
$q(\widetilde{13})$	:	spin 1/2, colour triplet
$g(\widetilde{34})$	:	spin 1, colour octet

Off-shell matrix element: violates $SU(3)$ gauge invariance

Antenna functions

Quark-gluon

Construct colour-ordered qg antenna function from $SU(3)$ gauge-invariant decay:
neutralino $\longrightarrow$ gluino + gluon (T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, AG)



$\tilde{\chi}$	:	spin 1/2, colour singlet
$\tilde{g}$	:	spin 1/2, colour octet
g	:	spin 1, colour octet

Gluino $\tilde{g}$ mimics quark and antiquark (same Dirac structure), but is octet in colour space



$\tilde{\chi} \longrightarrow \tilde{g}g$ described by effective Lagrangian
H. Haber, D. Wyler

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = i\eta\overline{\psi}^a_{\tilde{g}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi_{\tilde{\chi}}F^a_{\mu\nu} + (\text{h.c.})$$

Antenna function

$$X_{134} = \frac{|M_{\tilde{g}q'\bar{q}'}|^2}{|M_{\tilde{g}g}|^2} \equiv E^0_3(1_q, 3_{q'}, 4_{\bar{q}'})$$

Antenna functions

Gluon-gluon



$$|M_{q\bar{q}ggg}|^2(1,3,4,5,2) \stackrel{3\|4}{\longrightarrow} |M_{q\bar{q}gg}|^2(1,\widetilde{34},\widetilde{45},2) \times X_{345}$$



$H \to gg$ described by effective Lagrangian

F. Wilczek; M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, V. Zakharov

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = \frac{\lambda}{4} H F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{a}$$

Antenna function

$$X_{345} = \frac{|M_{ggg}|^2}{|M_{gg}|^2} \equiv F_3^0(3_g, 4_g, 5_g)$$

Antenna functions

	tree level	one loop
quark-antiquark		
$qg\bar{q}$	$A_3^0(q,g,\bar{q})$	$A_3^1(q,g,\bar{q}),\ \tilde{A}_3^1(q,g,\bar{q}),\ \hat{A}_3^1(q,g,\bar{q})$
$qgg\bar{q}$	$A_4^0(q,g,g,\bar{q}),\ \tilde{A}_4^0(q,g,g,\bar{q})$	
$qq'\bar{q}'\bar{q}$	$B_4^0(q,q',\bar{q}',\bar{q})$	
$qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$	$C_4^0(q,q,\bar{q},\bar{q})$	
quark-gluon		
qgg	$D_3^0(q,g,g)$	$D_3^1(q,g,g),\ \hat{D}_3^1(q,g,g)$
$qggg$	$D_4^0(q,g,g,g)$	
$qq'\bar{q}'$	$E_3^0(q,q',\bar{q}')$	$E_3^1(q,q',\bar{q}'),\ \tilde{E}_3^1(q,q',\bar{q}'),\ \hat{E}_3^1(q,q',\bar{q}')$
$qq'\bar{q}'g$	$E_4^0(q,q',\bar{q}',g),\ \tilde{E}_4^0(q,q',\bar{q}',g)$	
gluon-gluon		
ggg	$F_3^0(g,g,g)$	$F_3^1(g,g,g),\ \hat{F}_3^1(g,g,g)$
$gggg$	$F_4^0(g,g,g,g)$	
$gq\bar{q}$	$G_3^0(g,q,\bar{q})$	$G_3^1(g,q,\bar{q}),\ \tilde{G}_3^1(g,q,\bar{q}),\ \hat{G}_3^1(g,q,\bar{q})$
$gq\bar{q}g$	$G_4^0(g,q,\bar{q},g),\ \tilde{G}_4^0(g,q,\bar{q},g)$	
$q\bar{q}q'\bar{q}'$	$H_4^0(q,\bar{q},q',\bar{q}')$	

Extraction of α_s

Uncertainty from renormalisation scale



Event shapes at NNLO

NNLO corrections: broadenings

wide jet boadening B_W total jet boadening B_T



- NNLO corrections for B_W smaller than for B_T
- again require matching onto NLL resummation and hadronization corrections
- observe: small corrections for Y_3; large corrections for C
- reduction of dependence on renormalisation scale by 30–60%

Three-jet cross section at NNLO

NNLO corrections: jet rates



- substantial improvement towards lower y_{cut}
- two-jet rate now NNNLO